

MICHAEL L. RUGEN (Bar No. 85578)
Email: Michael.Rugen@Hellerehrman.com
JOSHUA HILL (Bar No. 250842)
Email: Joshua.Hill@Hellerehrman.com
HELLER EHRMAN LLP
333 Bush Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Phone: (415) 772-6000
Facsimile: (415) 772-6268

JOSEPH J. TABACCO, JR. (Bar No. 75484)
E-mail: jtabacco@bermanesq.com
NICOLE LAVALLEE (Bar No. 165755)
E-mail: nlaallee@bermanesq.com
BERMAN DeVALERIO PEASE TABACCO
BURT & PUCILLO
425 California Street, Suite 2100
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 433-3200
Telecopier: (415) 433-6382

Local Counsel

DANIEL J. DUNNE (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Email: Daniel.Dunne@Hellerehrman.com
HELLER EHRMAN LLP
701 5th Avenue, Suite 6100
Seattle, WA 98104
Phone: (206) 447-0900
Facsimile: (206) 447-6098

RICHARD W. COHEN (admitted *pro hac vice*)
E-mail: rcohen@lowey.com
BARBARA J. HART
E-mail: bhart@lowey.com
DAVID C. HARRISON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
E-mail: dharrison@lowey.com
LOWEY DANNENBERG COHEN & HART,
P.C.

One North Broadway - Suite 509
White Plains, New York 10601-2310
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
Telecopier: (914) 997-0035

Attorneys for Defendants

Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL,
INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No.: C-07-04073 PJH

This Document Relates To:
ALL ACTIONS

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER RE ENLARGEMENT OF PAGE
LIMITATIONS**

The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton

1 WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiff, The Southern Improvement Company, VSA, Inc., and
2 Allen Dayton (collectively "Plaintiffs"), have filed a Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the
3 "Complaint"), asserting claims against defendants Luminent Mortgage Capital, Inc. ("Luminent" or
4 the Company"), S. Trezevant Moore, Jr., Christopher J. Zyda, and Gail P. Seneca (collectively
5 "Defendants");

6 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's January 3, 2008 Order, Defendants intend to
7 file a motion to dismiss the Complaint on March 31, 2008;

8 WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rule 7-2(b), the page limit for a motion and
9 supporting papers is 25 pages;

10 WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), the page limit for opposition papers is
11 25 pages and the page limit for reply papers is 15 pages.

12 WHEREAS, the Complaint is 42 pages in length, includes 149 numbered
13 paragraphs, incorporates by reference over 500 pages of supporting materials, and raises numerous
14 legal issues concerning multiple aspects of Luminent's business;

15 WHEREAS, in light of the length and scope of the Complaint and the complexity of
16 the issues raised concerning Luminent's business, the parties have agreed that the page limit for the
17 papers filed in support, opposition, and reply to a motion should be enlarged as set forth below.

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, BY AND AMONG THE
19 UNDERSIGNED, ON BEHALF OF THEIR RESPECTIVE CLIENTS, SUBJECT TO COURT
20 APPROVAL, AS FOLLOWS:

21 The following page limits shall apply to the memoranda of points and authorities
22 filed in support, opposition or reply to the motion to dismiss the Complaint:

23 Defendants' brief: 35 pages

24 Plaintiffs' opposition brief: 35 pages

25 Defendants' reply brief: 20 pages

26 Such page limits do not include the table of contents or the table of authorities.

27 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.
28

